

REMARKS

A review of the claims indicates that:

A) Claims 1—42 remain in their original form.

B) Claim 43 is currently withdrawn.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims and withdrawal of the rejections.

Telephone Interview

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for leaving a return telephone call saying that Group I is claims 1—42 and that Group II is claim 43. This clarifies a typographical error in the Office Action.

Election/Restriction

The claims are subjected to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. §121 and are characterized by the Office as containing two distinct inventions:

I. Claims 1—42 drawn to a system for correcting an image frame (class 348, subclass 222.1); and

II. Claim 43 drawn to a method for generating an image in an airborne vehicle (class 348, subclass 117).

Applicant hereby elects, without traverse, to prosecute claims 1—42 (Group I).

Conclusion

The Applicant submits that all of the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowability be issued. If the Office's next anticipated action is not the issuance of a Notice of Allowability, the

1 Applicant respectfully requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted for the
2 purpose of scheduling an interview.

3
4 Respectfully Submitted,

5
6 Dated: 25 April 2008

By: /David S. Thompson/
David S. Thompson
Reg. No. 37,954
Attorney for Applicant

8
9 LEE & HAYES PLLC
Suite 500
421 W. Riverside Avenue
10 Spokane, Washington 99201

11 Telephone: 509-324-9256 x235
12 Facsimile: (509) 323-8979

13 Facsimile: (509) 323-8979
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25